



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/893,805	06/28/2001	Brian M. Grunkemeyer	MS174304.1	7100
27195	7590	11/01/2005	EXAMINER	
AMIN & TUROCY, LLP 24TH FLOOR, NATIONAL CITY CENTER 1900 EAST NINTH STREET CLEVELAND, OH 44114			LEE, ANDREW CHUNG CHEUNG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2664	

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/893,805

Applicant(s)

GRUNKEMEYER ET AL.

Examiner

Andrew C. Lee

Art Unit

2664

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 June 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>05/13/2005</u> | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1 – 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Williams (U.S. 6591272 B1).

Regarding claim 1, Williams discloses the limitation of a system for converting a synchronous method call on a target method to an asynchronous method call (column 15, lines 27 – 53), the system comprising: a pattern generator operable to break the synchronous method call into one or more constituent parts (Abstract, lines 1 – 17; column 1, lines 23 – 29); and a pattern data store, operably connected to the pattern generator, the pattern data store adapted to store data associated with converting a

Art Unit: 2664

synchronous method call to an asynchronous method call (Abstract, lines 1 – 17; column 4, lines 48 – 59).

Regarding claim 2, Williams discloses the limitation of the system of claimed where the one or more constituent parts comprise at least one of: a begin asynchronous operation method (column 8, lines 60 – 65); an end asynchronous operation method, an asynchronous call state object; and an asynchronous call result object.

Regarding claim 3, Williams discloses the limitation of the system of claimed where the begin asynchronous operation method accepts as inputs at least one of: input parameters presented to the target method; input/output parameters presented to the target method; parameters passed by reference to the target method; the address of an asynchronous callback routine (column 15, lines 46 – 47); and the asynchronous call state object.

Regarding claim 4, Williams discloses the limitation of the system of claimed where the begin asynchronous operation method returns the asynchronous result object (column 16, lines 15 – 67; column 17, lines 1 – 5).

Regarding claim 5, Williams discloses the limitation of the system of claimed where the end asynchronous operation method accepts as inputs at least one of: input/output parameters presented to the target method (column 16, lines 11 – 14); output parameters presented to the target method; parameters passed by reference to the target method; and the asynchronous call result object.

Regarding claim 6, Williams discloses the limitation of the system of claimed where the asynchronous call result object comprises: a first field that holds information concerning whether the begin asynchronous operation completed asynchronously (column 125, lines 4 – 11); and a second field that holds information concerning whether a server completed processing the target method (column 125, lines 12 – 26).

Regarding claim 7, Williams discloses the limitation of the system of claimed where the asynchronous call result object provides a waitable object (column 11, lines 13 – 17).

Regarding claim 8, Williams discloses the limitation of the system of claimed where the asynchronous call result object implements an interface, the interface comprising at least one of: an asynchronous call state object get method (column 13, lines 51 – 54); a wait handler object get method; a synchronous call completed field get method; and a target method call completed field get method.

Regarding claim 9, Williams discloses the limitation of the system of claimed where the end asynchronous operation is invoked by one of an asynchronous call result poller; the begin asynchronous operation method; an asynchronous call result waiter; and an asynchronous callback routine (column 15, lines 45 – 67; column 16, lines 1 – 14).

Regarding claim 10, Williams discloses the limitation of the system of claimed where the asynchronous call result poller is operable to poll the asynchronous call state object to determine whether the server has completed processing the target method (column 37, lines 44 – 61).

Regarding claim 11, Williams discloses the limitation of the system of claimed where the asynchronous call result waiter is operable to periodically sleep and wakeup, where during an awakened period the asynchronous call result waiter is operable to determine whether the server has completed the target method by examining the asynchronous call result object (column 37, lines 55 – 67).

Regarding claim 12, Williams discloses the limitation of the system of claimed where the asynchronous callback routine is invoked when the server has completed the target method (column 8, lines 11 – 22).

Regarding claim 13, Williams discloses the limitation of the system of claimed where the pattern generator can convert method calls associated with at least one of file input/output, stream input/output, socket input/output, networking (column 2, lines 63 – 65), remoting channels, proxies, web forms, web services and messaging message queues.

Regarding claim 14, Williams discloses the limitation of the system of claimed where the pattern generator can convert method calls associated with file input/output, stream input/output, socket input/output, networking, remoting channels, proxies, web forms, web services and messaging message queues (column 2, lines 63 – 65; column 31, lines 35 – 67; column 32, lines 1 – 46).

Regarding claim 15, Williams discloses the limitation of a computer readable medium storing computer executable components of the system of claim 1 (column 126, claim 7).

Regarding claim 16, Williams discloses the limitation of a system to facilitate making asynchronous calls on a target method (column 15, lines 27 – 53), the system comprising: an asynchronous call initializer adapted to accept input parameters from a client caller and to forward the input parameters towards the target method, the asynchronous call initializer further adapted to establish a callback routine, where the callback routine can be invoked upon completion of the target method, the asynchronous call initializer further adapted to accept a state object and to populate one or more fields in the state object with state values associated with the asynchronous call, the asynchronous call initializer further adapted to return a result object to the client caller (column 15, lines 21 – 53; Fig. 3, column 8, lines 11 – 22); an asynchronous call completer adapted to accept results generated by the target method and to supply the results to the client caller, the asynchronous call completer further adapted to update the state object, the asynchronous call completer further adapted to update the result object; and a state tracker, operable to track and log state related to processing associated with the asynchronous call initializer, the asynchronous call completer and the target method, the state tracker further operable to update the state object (column 8, lines 23 – 48; column 15, lines 57 – 67; column 16, lines 1 – 20).

Regarding claim 17, Williams discloses the limitation of a computer readable medium storing; computer executable components of the system of claim 16 (column 3, lines 1 – 8; column 126, claim 7, lines 4 – 18).

Regarding claim 18, Williams discloses the limitation of a method for converting code for a synchronous method call on a target method to code for an asynchronous

Art Unit: 2664

method call, the method comprising: receiving a code for a synchronous method call; passing the code for the synchronous method call through a call conversion process to produce a code for an asynchronous method call (column 15, lines 21 – 53; column 8, lines 11 – 22); creating an asynchronous call result object to store results associated with the asynchronous method call; and creating an asynchronous call state object to store state information associated with the asynchronous method call (column 8, lines 23 – 59).

Regarding claim 19, Williams discloses the limitation of the method of claimed where the call conversion process comprises: subdividing the code for the synchronous method call into constituent parts; and creating one or more asynchronous method call code segments corresponding to the constituent parts (column 15, lines 25 – 53; column 16, lines 11 – 19; lines 65 – 67; column 17, lines 1 – 5).

Regarding claim 20, Williams discloses the limitation of the method of claimed where the constituent parts comprise at least one of a begin operation that will not block due to asynchronous method calling; and an end operation that will not block due to asynchronous method calling (column 17, lines 22 – 25).

Regarding claim 21, Williams discloses the limitation of the method of claimed where the end operation is invoked by one of processing associated with polling a field in the asynchronous call state object; processing associated with waiting on the asynchronous call result object; the begin operation; and an asynchronous callback routine (column 11, lines 47 – 64).

Regarding claim 22, Williams discloses the limitation of the method of claimed where code for synchronous method calls associated with at least one of file input/output; stream input/output, socket input/output, networking (column 2, lines 63 – 65), remoting channels, proxies, web forms, web services and messaging message queues can be converted.

Regarding claim 23, Williams discloses the limitation of the method of claimed where code for synchronous method calls associated with file input/output, stream input/output, socket input/output, networking, remoting channels, proxies, web forms, web services and messaging message queues can be converted (column 2, lines 63 – 65; column 31, lines 35 – 67; column 32, lines 1 – 46).

Regarding claim 24, Williams discloses the limitation of a computer readable medium storing computer executable instructions for a method for converting code for a synchronous method call on a target method to code for an asynchronous method call (column 126, claim 7, lines 5 – 9), the method comprising: dividing the synchronous method call into at least one of a non-blocking asynchronous begin operation and a non-blocking asynchronous end operation (column 126, lines 19 – 43); and associating a call state object to at least one of the non-blocking asynchronous begin operation and the non-blocking asynchronous end operation (column 126, lines 44 – 49).

Regarding claim 25, Williams discloses the limitation of a method for facilitating asynchronous method calls on a target method (column 15, lines 27 – 53), the method comprising: receiving a request from a calling client to perform processing associated

Art Unit: 2664

with beginning an asynchronous call to a target method (column 8, lines 14 – 17; lines 60 – 64); initializing a state tracking object; initializing a result object; queuing a call to the target method, where the call is queued in a thread pool; returning control and a result object to the calling client (column 62, lines 50 – 53; column 63, lines 24 – 32); receiving a request from the calling client to perform processing associated with ending the asynchronous call to the target method; and returning control and a result consistent with the result of the target method to the calling client upon completion of the processing associated with ending the asynchronous call to the target method (column 15, lines 45 – 49; column 16, lines 11 – 20).

Regarding claim 26, Williams discloses the limitation of the method of claimed where the request from the calling client to perform processing associated with ending the asynchronous call to the target method is controlled by one of polling process and a waiting process (column 16, lines 65 – 67; column 17, lines 1 – 5).

Regarding claim 27, Williams discloses the limitation of a computer readable medium storing computer executable instructions operable to perform a method for facilitating asynchronous method calls to a target method (column 126, claim 7, lines 4 – 10), the method comprising: accepting one or more requests from a caller to begin an asynchronous call to a target method; initializing at least one of a state tracking object and a result object (column 62, lines 50 – 53; column 63, lines 24 – 32); queuing a call to the target method; accepting one or more requests to end the asynchronous call to the target method; and sending at least one of a result and a result object to the caller (column 15, lines 45 – 49; column 16, lines 11 – 20).

Regarding claim 28, Williams discloses the limitation of a method for facilitating asynchronous method calls to a target method (column 15, lines 27 – 53), the method comprising: receiving a request from a calling client to perform processing associated with beginning an asynchronous call to a target method (column 8, lines 60 – 65); initializing a state tracking object (column 62, lines 50 – 53; column 63, lines 24 – 32); establishing a callback routine, where the callback routine will be invoked upon notification of the completion of the target method, and where the callback routine will invoke processing associated with ending the asynchronous call to the target method (column 15, lines 32 – 53); queuing a call to the target method, where the call is queued in a thread pool (column 29, lines 62 – 67); returning control and a result object to the calling client; invoking the callback routine upon receiving notification of the completion of the target method (column 16, lines 65 – 67; column 17, lines 1 – 5); performing processing associated with ending the asynchronous call to the target method; and returning control and a result consistent with the result of the target method to the calling client upon completion of the processing associated with asynchronous call of the target method (column 16, lines 11 – 14; lines 65 – 67; column 17, lines 1 – 5).

Regarding claim 29, Williams discloses the limitation of a system for converting a synchronous method call to an asynchronous method call (column 15, lines 27 – 53), the system comprising: means for accepting instructions to call a target method synchronously (column 6, lines 57 - 62); means for generating instructions to call the target method asynchronously (column 6, lines 62 – 65); means for generating an object to store results generated in response to performing the instructions to call the target

Art Unit: 2664

method asynchronously (column 6, lines 65 - 67); and means for generating an object to store state information associated with performing the instructions to call the target method asynchronously (column 6, lines 65 - 67; column 7, lines 1 - 12).

Regarding claim 30, Williams discloses the limitation of a data packet adapted to be transmitted between two or more computer processes (column 8, lines 11 - 14; column 58, lines 52 - 59), the data packet comprising: a first field operable to store information identifying a target method called by a synchronous method call (column 125, lines 4 - 11; column 15, lines 29 - 32); one or more second fields operable to store parameters intended for the target method (column 125, lines 12 - 26; column 16, lines 11 - 14); a third field operable to store information concerning a callback routine to be invoked when the target method completes; and one or more fourth fields operable to store parameters returned from the target method (column 16, lines 11 - 14; lines 65 - 67; column 17, lines 1 - 5).

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew C. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-3131. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wellington Chin can be reached on (571) 272-3134. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2664

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ACL

Oct 18, 2005


Ajit Patel
Primary Examiner